

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box, 1450 Alexandina, Virginia 22313-1450

APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE		FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/658,929	09/09/2003	Kevin Lym	SONY-26100 3117		
	7590 07/06/2009		EXAM	IINER	
Jonathan O. Ov HAVERSTOC	wens K & OWENS LLP		MENDOZA	, JUNIOR O	
162 North Wol Sunnyvale, CA			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
Suinyvaic, CA		888c c. c.	2423		
		RECO JUL 0 8 2009			
		The season	MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			07/06/2009	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	,	
	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/658,929	LYM, KEVIN
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit
	JUNIOR O. MENDOZA	2423
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the o	orrespondence address
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WILCHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MALING DV HAMEN OF the may be easible under the provisions of 37 CPR 11 and 15 K/c (6) MONTH'S from the mails and the provisions of 37 CPR 11 and 15 K/c (6) MONTH'S from the mails of the maximum statutory points and the state of the maximum statutory points are provided partial for reply with prints and the maximum statutory points when the state of extended partial for reply will, by statisfy, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned parter than adjustment. See 37 CPR 1.70 EX.	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tin will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from , cause the application to become ABANDONE	vi., nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).
Status		
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 29 A ₁ 2a) This action is FINAL. 2b) This 3) Since this application is in condition for allowar dosed in accordance with the practice under E	action is non-final. nce except for formal matters, pro-	
Disposition of Claims		
4) ☑ Claim(s) 1-54 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrav 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☑ Claim(s) 1-54 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o Application Papers 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examine 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ acc Applicant may not request that any objection to the. Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examine	wn from consideration. r election requirement. r. ppted or b)	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). jected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119		
12 Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	s have been received. s have been received in Applicativity documents have been received a (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	on No ed in this National Stage
Attachment(s)		
1) Motice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Minformation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date US Patent and Teams Ottice	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Di 5) Notice of Informal F 6) Other:	ate

Art Unit: 2423

DETAILED ACTION

Response to Arguments

 Applicant's arguments filed 04/29/2009 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

Although a new ground of rejection has been provided, a response is considered necessary for some of applicant's arguments since the Huang reference will continue to be used to meet several claimed limitations.

Regarding claims 6, 17 and 22, applicant argues that Huang does not teach a "routing table".

However, the examiner respectfully disagrees with the applicant. Huang discloses a system for sorting and organizing content based on the content type, where the content is stored locally in different memory folders or memory clusters by automatically creating folders based on the media file type, such as audio, image or video, abstract and paragraph [0016]. Huang further recites that the content is automatically distributed to different destination paths within memory; i.e. folders, depending on the determined file type, see paragraph [0021] also exhibited on figure 3. The applicant argues that the routing table is an electronic table stored in a router used for physical transmission of data from one point to another, where the routing table stores the routes to particular network destinations; however, these features are not currently being claimed, hence the

Application/Control Number: 10/658,929
Art Unit: 2423

examiner is taking the broadest reasonable interpretation of the term "routing table" as a table which may distribute content within memory to different memory partitions, i.e. folders. Therefore, Huang clearly discloses a "routing table".

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1, 5, 6 – 13, 16 – 23, 26 – 30, 41, 43, 45 and 52 – 54 are rejected under
 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Huang (Pub No US 2004/0098379) in view of Balog et al. (Pub No US 2002/0022453). Hereinafter referenced as Huang and Balog, respectively.

Regarding claim 1, Huang discloses an apparatus for automatically routing digital information (Paragraph (0016)), comprising:

an interface coupled to receive downloaded digital information having a type (Paragraph [0018]);

a storage device coupled to the interface to store the digital information (Paragraphs [0016] [0021] also exhibited on fig 3);

Art Unit: 2423

a controller coupled to the storage device to automatically sort the digital information based on the type to one or more memory locations(Paragraphs [0016] [0021] also exhibited on figures 3 and 4).

However it is noted that Huang fails to explicitly disclose selectively transmitting the digital information based on the type to one or more secondary devices.

Nevertheless, in a similar field of endeavor Balog discloses selectively transmitting the digital information based on the type to one or more secondary devices (Paragraphs [0024] [0030] [0031] [0040] and figures 1 and 6; distributing content, e.g. video files, audio files, photos, etc, to devices 16 based on file type and device capabilities. Moreover, the user 14 may define a list of the type of content that each device should store and render)

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify Huang by specifically providing such element, as taught by Balog, for the purpose of allowing the distribution of content to external devices which are best suited for processing the content, and even allowing users to set preference tables for user convenience and manageability of content.

Regarding claim 5, Huang and Balog disclose the apparatus as claimed in claim 1; moreover, Huang discloses that the digital information comprises media content including music, videos, and data (Paragraph [0016]).

Art Unit: 2423

Regarding claim 6, Huang and Balog disclose the apparatus as claimed in claim 1; moreover, Huang disclose that the controller utilizes a routing table to route the digital information (Paragraph [0021] also exhibited on fig 3; content is automatically distributed to different destination paths within memory; i.e. folders, depending on the file type). Furthermore, Balog also discloses a routing table to route digital information (Paragraphs [0031] [0034]; user may define a list of preferred devices and create a mapping of the type of content that should be routed to each devices).

Regarding claim 7, Huang and Balog disclose the apparatus as claimed in claim 6; moreover, Huang disclose that the routing table further comprises a file type column and a memory location column (Paragraph [0021] also exhibited on fig 3, the location, i.e. folder, of each data type depends and corresponds to the data type). Furthermore, Balog also discloses that the routing table comprises a file type column and a location column (Paragraphs [0031] [0034]; user may define a list of preferred devices and create a mapping of the type of content that should be routed to each devices).

Regarding claim 8, Huang and Balog disclose the apparatus as claimed in claim 6; moreover, Huang disclose that the routing table utilizes meta data information within the digital information to route the digital information (Paragraphs [0016] [0020] and [0021] also exhibited on fig 3).

Art Unit: 2423

Regarding claim 9, Huang and Balog disclose the apparatus as claimed in claim 6; moreover, Huang disclose that the routing table is user-defined (Paragraphs [0025] and [0026]). Furthermore, Balog also discloses that the routing table may be user defined (Paragraphs [0031] [0034]; user may define a list of preferred devices and create a mapping of the type of content that should be routed to each devices).

Regarding claim 10, Huang and Balog disclose the apparatus as claimed in claim 1; however, it is noted that Huang fails to explicitly disclose that the controller automatically detects one or more secondary devices.

Nevertheless, in a similar field of endeavor Balog discloses that a controller automatically detects one or more secondary devices (Paragraphs [0036] [0038] figure 5; detecting devices connected to network).

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify Huang by specifically providing the elements mentioned above, as taught by Balog, for the purpose of allowing the external device to be able to work on any computer that supports it without the need to manually install any software which would allow it to work; moreover, this characteristic allows the device to be hot swappable.

Regarding claim 11, Huang and Balog disclose the apparatus as claimed in claim 1; however, it is noted that Huang fails to explicitly disclose that the secondary devices include one or more of an mp3 player, a video recorder, and a handheld.

Art Unit: 2423

Nevertheless, in a similar field of endeavor Balog discloses that secondary devices include one or more of an mp3 player, a video recorder, and a handheld (Paragraph [0022] figure 1).

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify Huang by specifically providing such element, as taught by Balog, for the purpose of supporting different types of content to be processed by the same device, which would motivate the user to buy a device capable of multitasking, sorting and distributing different types of data implementing the same device.

Regarding claim 12, Huang discloses an apparatus for automatically routing digital information from a computing device to one or more memory locations (Paragraph [0016]), comprising:

an interface coupled to receive downloaded digital information having a type (Paragraph [0018]);

storage device coupled to the interface to store the digital information (Paragraphs [0016] [0021] also exhibited on fig 3);

a controller coupled to the storage device to automatically determine which type of digital information is routed to which memory location (Paragraphs [0016] [0021] also exhibited on figures 3 and 4);

Art Unit: 2423

a controller coupled to the storage device to automatically distribute the digital information to the one or more memory locations based on the type (Paragraphs [0016] [0021] also exhibited on fig 3).

However it is noted that Huang fails to explicitly disclose selectively transmitting the digital information to one or more secondary devices based on the type.

Nevertheless, in a similar field of endeavor Balog discloses selectively transmitting the digital information to one or more secondary devices based on the type (Paragraphs [0024] [0030] [0031] [0040] and figures 1 and 6; distributing content, e.g. video files, audio files, photos, etc, to devices 16 based on file type and device capabilities. Moreover, the user 14 may define a list of the type of content that each device should store and render)

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify Huang by specifically providing such element, as taught by Balog, for the purpose of allowing the distribution of content to external devices which are best suited for processing the content, and even allowing users to set preference tables for user convenience and manageability of content.

Regarding claims 13, 16, 17, 18, 19, 20 and 21, Huang and Balog disclose all the limitations of claims 13, 16, 17, 18, 19, 20 and 21; therefore, claims 13, 16, 17, 18, 19, 20 and 21 are rejected for the same reasons stated in claims 2, 5, 6, 7, 8, 9 and 11, respectively.

Art Unit: 2423

Regarding claim 22, Huang discloses an apparatus for automatically routing digital media content from a computing device to one or more memory locations (Paragraph [0016]), comprising:

an interface coupled to receive downloaded digital media content having a type (Paragraph [0018]);

a storage device coupled to the interface to store the digital media content (Paragraphs [0016] [0021] also exhibited on fig 3);

a controller coupled to the storage device to automatically determine which type of media content is routed to which memory location utilizing a routing table (Paragraphs [0016] [0021] also exhibited on fig 3);

a controller coupled to the storage device to automatically distribute the digital media content to the one or more memory locations based on the type (Paragraphs [0016] [0021] also exhibited on figures 3 and 4).

However it is noted that Huang fails to explicitly disclose a controller to automatically detect the one or more secondary devices; and selectively transmitting the digital information to one or more secondary devices based on the type.

Nevertheless, in a similar field of endeavor Balog discloses a controller to automatically detect the one or more secondary devices (Paragraphs [0036] [0038] figure 5; detecting devices connected to network);

selectively transmitting the digital information to one or more secondary devices based on the type (Paragraphs [0024] [0030] [0031] [0040] and figures 1 and 6; distributing content, e.g. video files, audio files, photos, etc, to devices 16 based on file

Art Unit: 2423

type and device capabilities. Moreover, the user 14 may define a list of the type of content that each device should store and render)

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify Huang by specifically providing such element, as taught by Balog, for the purpose of allowing the distribution of content to external devices which are best suited for processing the content, and even allowing users to set preference tables for user convenience and manageability of content.

Regarding claims 23, 26, 27, 28, 29 and 30, Huang and Balog disclose all the limitations of claims 23, 26, 27, 28, 29 and 30; therefore, claims 23, 26, 27, 28, 29 and 30 are rejected for the same reasons stated in claims 2, 5, 7, 8, 9 and 11, respectively.

Regarding **claim 41**, Huang discloses a method for routing digital information from a computing device to one or more memory locations (Paragraph [0016]), comprising:

receiving the digital information having a type (Paragraph [0018]);

automatically sorting the digital information based on the type (Paragraphs [0016] [0021] also exhibited on fig 3);

and automatically distributing the digital information to a corresponding one or more of the memory locations based on the type (Paragraphs [0016] [0021] fig 3).

Art Unit: 2423

However it is noted that Huang fails to explicitly disclose transmitting the digital information to a corresponding one or more secondary device based on the type.

Nevertheless, in a similar field of endeavor Balog discloses transmitting the digital information to a corresponding one or more secondary device based on the type (Paragraphs [0024] [0030] [0031] [0040] and figures 1 and 6; distributing content, e.g. video files, audio files, photos, etc, to devices 16 based on file type and device capabilities. Moreover, the user 14 may define a list of the type of content that each device should store and render)

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify Huang by specifically providing such element, as taught by Balog, for the purpose of allowing the distribution of content to external devices which are best suited for processing the content, and even allowing users to set preference tables for user convenience and manageability of content.

Regarding claim 43, Huang and Balog disclose all the limitations of claim 43; therefore, claim 43 is rejected for the same reasons stated in claim 10.

Regarding claim 45, Huang discloses a method for routing digital information from a computing device to one or more memory locations (Paragraph [0016]), comprising:

receiving the digital information having a type (Paragraph [0018]);

Art Unit: 2423

automatically sorting the digital information based on the type (Paragraphs [0016] [0021] also exhibited on fig 3);

automatically distributing the digital information to a corresponding one or more of the memory locations based on the type (Paragraphs [0016] [0021] fig 3).

However it is noted that Huang fails to explicitly disclose transmitting the digital information to a corresponding one or more secondary device based on the type.

Nevertheless, in a similar field of endeavor Balog discloses transmitting the digital information to a corresponding one or more secondary device based on the type (Paragraphs [0024] [0030] [0031] [0040] and figures 1 and 6; distributing content, e.g. video files, audio files, photos, etc, to devices 16 based on file type and device capabilities. Moreover, the user 14 may define a list of the type of content that each device should store and render)

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify Huang by specifically providing such element, as taught by Balog, for the purpose of allowing the distribution of content to external devices which are best suited for processing the content, and even allowing users to set preference tables for user convenience and manageability of content.

Regarding claim 52, Huang and Balog disclose all the limitations of claim 52; therefore, claim 52 is rejected for the same reasons stated in claims 1 and 5.

Art Unit: 2423

Regarding claim 53, Huang and Balog disclose all the limitations of claim 53; therefore, claim 53 is rejected for the same reasons stated in claims 41 and 5.

Regarding claim 54, Huang and Balog disclose all the limitations of claim 54; therefore, claim 54 is rejected for the same reasons stated in claims 12 and 16.

 Claims 3, 4, 14, 15, 24 and 25 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Huang in view of Balog further in view of Mercer et al (Patent No US 7,043,477). Hereinafter referenced as Mercer.

Regarding claim 3, Huang and Balog disclose the apparatus as claimed in claim 1; however, it is noted that Huang and Balog fail to explicitly disclose that the storage device is a hard disk drive.

Nevertheless, in a similar field of endeavor Mercer discloses that the storage device is a hard disk drive (A computer includes a hard disk drive [154] for storage, column 17 lines 48-64 also exhibited on figure 10).

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify Huang and Balog by specifically providing such element, as taught by Mercer, for the purpose of providing non-volatile storage that will store content.

Art Unit: 2423

Regarding claim 4, Huang and Balog disclose the apparatus as claimed in claim 1; however, it is noted that Huang and Balog fail to explicitly disclose that the storage device is a semiconductor memory.

Nevertheless, in a similar field of endeavor Mercer discloses that the storage device is a semiconductor memory (A computer includes a system memory [134] which consist of ROM [138] and RAM [140], column 17 lines 34-47 figure 10).

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify Huang and Balog by specifically providing such element, as taught by Mercer, for the purpose of providing volatile storage that will momentarily store or buffer data in order to allow a computer system to process information efficiently.

Regarding claims 14 and 15, Huang, Balog and Mercer disclose all the limitations of claims 14 and 15; therefore, claims 14 and 15 are rejected for the same reasons stated in claims 3 and 4, respectively.

Regarding **claims 25** and **25**, Huang, Balog and Mercer disclose all the limitations of claims 24 and 25; therefore, claims 24 and 25 are rejected for the same reasons stated in claims 3 and 4, respectively.

Application/Control Number: 10/658,929 Art Unit: 2423

5. Claims 2, 31 – 34, 37, 40, 42, 46 and 51 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Huang in view of Balog further in view of Malek et al (Patent No US 6.253.207). Hereinafter referenced as Malek.

Regarding claim 2, Huang and Balog disclose the apparatus as claimed in claim 1; however, it is noted that Huang and Balog fail to explicitly disclose that the digital information is downloaded from a server to the storage device.

In a similar field of endeavor Malek discloses that the digital information is downloaded from a server to the storage device (Server [120] may be embodied as a file server, a music server or a video server, column 4 lines 46-51 figures 1).

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify Huang and Balog by specifically providing such element, as taught by Malek, for the purpose of providing an external source of information which has the potential to provide enormous amounts of data which can be requested by the user at any time.

Regarding claim 31, Huang discloses a network of devices for automatically routing digital information (Paragraph [0016]), comprising:

a computing device for obtaining and routing the digital information (Paragraphs 10016) [0018] [0021] also exhibited on figures 3 and 4):

one or more memory locations for receiving the digital information from the computing device (Paragraphs [0016] [0021] also exhibited on figures 3 and 4).

Art Unit: 2423

However it is noted that Huang fails to explicitly disclose transmitting the digital information based on the type and one or more secondary devices receiving the digital information.

Nevertheless, in a similar field of endeavor Balog discloses transmitting the digital information based on the type and one or more secondary devices receiving the digital information (Paragraphs [0024] [0030] [0031] [0040] and figures 1 and 6; distributing content, e.g. video files, audio files, photos, etc, to devices 16 based on file type and device capabilities. Moreover, the user 14 may define a list of the type of content that each device should store and render)

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify Huang by specifically providing such element, as taught by Balog, for the purpose of allowing the distribution of content to external devices which are best suited for processing the content, and even allowing users to set preference tables for user convenience and manageability of content.

However it is noted that Huang and Balog fail to explicitly disclose a computing device coupled to the server, the server including digital information.

Nevertheless, in a similar field of endeavor Malek discloses a computing device coupled to the server, the server including digital information (Server [120] may be embodied as a file server, a music server or a video server, where the multimedia traffic handler [400] routes data; column 4 lines 46-51 also exhibited on figures 1, 3 and 4).

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify Huang and Balog by specifically providing such

Art Unit: 2423

element, as taught by Malek, for the purpose of providing an external source of information which has the capabilities of transmitting vast amounts of data to different users.

Regarding claims 32, 33, 34 and 40, Huang and Balog disclose all the limitations of claims 32, 33, 34 and 40; therefore, claims 32, 33, 34 and 40 are rejected for the same reasons stated in claims 5, 1, 10 and 11, respectively.

Regarding claim 37, Huang, Balog and Malek disclose the network of devices as claimed in claim 31; moreover, Huang discloses that the computing device is a personal computer (Paragraphs [0016] [0029]).

Regarding claims 42 and 46, Huang and Balog disclose all the limitations of claims 42 and 46; therefore, claims 42 and 46 are rejected for the same reasons stated in claim 2.

Regarding claim 51, Huang and Balog disclose all the limitations of claim 51; therefore, claim 51 is rejected for the same reasons stated in claim 44.

Art Unit: 2423

 Claims 35, 36, 38 and 39 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Huang in view of Balog further in view of Malek and further in view of Mercer.

Regarding claims 35 and 36, Huang, Balog and Mercer disclose all the limitations of claims 35 and 36; therefore, claims 35 and 36 are rejected for the same reasons stated in claims 3 and 4, respectively.

Regarding claim 38, Huang, Balog and Malek disclose the network of devices as claimed in claim 31; however, it is noted that Huang, Balog and Malek fail to explicitly disclose that the computing device is a set-top box.

Nevertheless, in a similar field of endeavor Mercer discloses that the computing device is a set-top box (Computer [130] can also be a set top box, column 19 lines 10-28 also exhibited on figure 10).

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify Huang, Balog and Malek by specifically providing such element, as taught by Mercer, for the purpose of providing more advertisement flexibility from a sales point of view, in other words, using a set top box as a data sorter would allow more marketability due to the additional functions that such device could be able to process.

Art Unit: 2423

Regarding claim 39, Huang, Balog and Malek disclose the network of devices as claimed in claim 31; however, it is noted that Huang, Balog and Malek fail to explicitly disclose that the computer device further comprises a modern device for coupling to the server.

Nevertheless, in a similar field of endeavor Mercer discloses that the computer device further comprises a modem device for coupling to the server (Computer [130] includes a modem [178] for establishing communication over a network, column 18 lines 40-55 also exhibited on figure 10).

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify Huang, Balog and Malek by specifically providing such element, as taught by Mercer, for the purpose of providing a way to communicate to different remote server over long distances at reasonable speeds, which allows a user to transmit and receive data as needed.

 Claims 44 and 47 – 50 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Huang in view of Balog further in view of Robbin et al (Pub No US 2003/0167318). Hereinafter, referenced as Robbin.

Regarding claim 44, Huang and Balog disclose the apparatus as claimed in claim 41; however, it is noted that Huang and Balog fail to explicitly disclose storing the

Art Unit: 2423

digital information in the computing device until the corresponding one or more of the secondary devices is coupled to the computing device.

Nevertheless, in a similar field on endeavor Robbin discloses storing the digital information in the computing device until the corresponding one or more of the secondary devices is coupled to the computing device (Paragraph [0033] fig 4).

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify Huang and Balog by specifically providing such element, as taught by Robbin, for the purpose of automatically updating and transferring the new content, which allows the device to self update every time it gets connected to a computer, saving a lot of time to the user.

Regarding claims 47, 48, 49 and 50, Huang, Balog and Robbin disclose all the limitations of claims 47, 48, 49 and 50; therefore, claims 47, 48, 49 and 50 are rejected for the same reasons stated in claim 44.

Application/Control Number: 10/658,929 Art Unit: 2423

Conclusion

 Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to JUNIOR O. MENDOZA whose telephone number is (571)270-3573. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday - Friday 9am - 5pm FST

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Andrew Koenig can be reached on (571)272-7296. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 10/658,929 Art Unit: 2423

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Junior O Mendoza Examiner Art Unit 2423

/J. O. M./ June 26, 2009

/Andrew Y Koenig/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 2423

Remove

POSSOBAGE AND Approved for use through 02220000, 0M3 00540031

Approved for use through 02220000, 0M3 00540031

U.S. Patent and Taxonak Office, U.S. DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

Under the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1985, no persons are required to respond to a collection of information unless a contains a valid Ontario number. Doc description: Information Disclosure Statement (IDS) Filed

INFORMATION DISCLOSURE
STATEMENT BY APPLICANT
(Not for eulymission under 37 CER 1 99)

Application Number		10658929	
Filing Date		2003-09-09	
First Named Inventor	Kevin	Lym	
Art Unit		2423	
Examiner Name	Mend	loza, Junior O.	
Attorney Docket Numb	er	SONY-26100	

ALL REFERENCES CONSIDERED EXCEPT WHERE LINED THROUGH. /J.M./ U.S.PATENTS

Examiner Initial*	Cite No	Patent Number	Kind Code ¹	Issue D	Date	Name of Patentee or Applicant		Pages,Columns,Lines where Relevant Passages or Relevant Figures Appear			
	1										
If you wis	h to a	dd additional U.S. Pater	nt citatio	n inform	ation p	lease click the	Add button.		Add		
U.S.PATENT APPLICATION PUBLICATIONS Re									Remove		
Examiner Initial*	Cite No	Publication Number	Kind Code ¹			Name of Patentee or Applicant Relev		Releva	ages,Columns,Lines where televant Passages or Relevant igures Appear		
	1	20010021994	A1	2001-09-13		Nash, Jason m.					
If you wis	h to a	d additional U.S. Publis	shed Ap	plication	citatio	n information p	lease click the Add	button	Add		
				FOREIG	SN PAT	ENT DOCUM	ENTS		Remove		
Examiner Initial*	Cite No				Publication Date	Name of Patentee Applicant of cited Document	or v F	here Rel	or Relevant	Т5	
	1										
If you wis	h to a	dd additional Foreign Pa	atent Do	cument	citation	information pl	ease click the Add	button	Add		
			NON	I-PATE	NT LITE	RATURE DO	CUMENTS		Remove		
Examiner Initials*	Cite No	Include name of the au (book, magazine, journ publisher, city and/or of	nal, seria	al, symp	osium,	catalog, etc), o					T5

INFORMATION DISCLOSURE STATEMENT BY APPLICANT (Not for submission under 37 CFR 1.99)

Application Number		10658929
Filing Date		2003-09-09
First Named Inventor	Kevir	ı Lym
Art Unit		2423
Examiner Name	Meno	doza, Junior O.
Attorney Docket Number		SONY-26100

1				Ш	
If you wish to add addi	tional non-patent literature document citati	ion information please click the Add I	outton Add		
	EXAMINER	SIGNATURE			
Examiner Signature	/Junior Mendoza/	Date Considered 06/18/2009			
*EXAMINER: Initial if re	eference considered, whether or not citation	on is in conformance with MPEP 609	. Draw line through a		

citation if not in conformance and not considered. Include copy of this form with next communication to applicant.

¹ See Kind Codes of USPTO Patent Documents at yww.USETO_GOV or NPEP 9910.4. ² Enter office that issued the document, by the two-letter code (WIPO Standard ST3.) a For Japanese plant documents, the incidation of the year of the reliq or the Emperor must proceed the serial more of the patent document. If he incidation of the year of the reliq or the Emperor must proceed the serial more of the patent document. 4 Kind of occurrent by the appropriate symbols as indicated on the document under WIPO Standard ST,16 if possible. § Applicant is to place a check mark there if English language stranslation is attacked.

INFORMATION DISCLOSURE
STATEMENT BY APPLICANT
(Not for submission under 37 CFR 1.99)

Application Number		10658929
Filing Date		2003-09-09
First Named Inventor	Kevin	ı Lym
Art Unit		2423
Examiner Name	Mend	loza, Junior O.
Attorney Docket Numb	er	SONY-26100

ALL REFERENCES CONSIDERED EXCEPT WHERE LINED THROUGH. /J.M./

	U.S.PATENTS REMOVE										
Examiner Initial*	Cite No	Patent Number	Kind Code ¹	ssue Date		Name of Patentee or Applicant of cited Document		Pages,Columns,Lines v Relevant Passages or I Figures Appear			
	1	7478047	B2	2009-01	-13	Loyall et al.					
If you wis	h to ac	ld additional U.S. Pater	t citatio	n inform	ation pl	ease click the	Add button.		Add		
			U.S.P.	ATENT	APPLIC	CATION PUBI	LICATIONS		Remove		
Examiner Initial*	Cite No	Publication Number	Kind Publication Code ¹ Date			of cited Document		Pages,Columns,Lines where Relevant Passages or Relevar Figures Appear			
	1										
If you wis	h to a	ld additional U.S. Publis	hed Ap	plication	citation	n information p	lease click the Add	button	Add		
				FOREIG	N PAT	ENT DOCUM	ENTS		Remove		
Examiner Initial*					Publication Date	Name of Patentee Applicant of cited Document	or v	here Rel	or Relevant	Τō	
	1										
If you wis	h to a	dd additional Foreign Pa	tent Do	cument	citation	information pl	ease click the Add	button	Add		
			NON	-PATEN	IT LITE	RATURE DO	CUMENTS		Remove		
Examiner Initials*	Cite No	Include name of the au (book, magazine, journ publisher, city and/or of	nal, seria	ıl, symp	osium, d	catalog, etc), c					Т5

INFORMATION DISCLOSURE STATEMENT BY APPLICANT (Not for submission under 37 CFR 1.99)

Application Number		10658929
Filing Date		2003-09-09
First Named Inventor	Kevin	Lym
Art Unit		2423
Examiner Name	Mend	loza, Junior O.
Attorney Docket Number		SONY-26100

1								
If you wish to add additional non-patent literature document citation information please click the Add button Add								
	EXAMINER SIGNATURE							
Examiner Signature	/Junior Mendoza/	Date Considered 06/18/2009						
TOTAL MINERS In the formand and a short or an at citation in in conformance with MDED COO. Draw line through a								

citation if not in conformance and not considered. Include copy of this form with next communication to applicant.

1 See Kind Codes of USPTO Patent Documents at <u>xxxxx</u> USPTO, GOV or MPEP 901 04. ² Enter office that issued the document, by the two-letter code (WIPO Standard ST3.) ³ For upparage spatent documents, by its inclusion of the year of the regin of the Emperor must precede the serial nor of the patent document. ⁴ Kind of document by the appropriate symbols as indicated on the document under WIPO Standard ST.18 if possible. ⁹ Applicant is to place a check mark there if English language strainstation is attacked.

Die description: Information Disclosure Statement (IDS) Filed

INFORMATION DISCLOSURE STATEMENT BY APPLICANT (Not for submission under 37 CFR 1.99)

Application Number		10658929		
Filing Date		2003-09-09		
First Named Inventor Kevin		Lym		
Art Unit		2423		
Examiner Name Mende		oza, Junior O.		
Attorney Docket Number		SONY-26100		

ALL REFERENCES CONSIDERED EXCEPT WHERE LINED THROUGH. /J.M./

U.S.PATENTS Remove											
Examiner Initial*	Cite No	Patent Number	Kind Code ¹	Issue [Date	of sited Desument Rel		Releva	Pages,Columns,Lines where Relevant Passages or Relevant Figures Appear		ınt
	1										
If you wis	h to ac	dd additional U.S. Paten	t citatio	n inform	ation pl	ease click the	Add button.		Add		
			U.S.P.	ATENT	APPLI	CATION PUBI	LICATIONS		Remove		
Examiner Initial*	Cite No	Publication Number	Kind Code ¹	Publication Name of Patentee or Applicant Polevant		Columns,Lines where nt Passages or Relevant Appear					
	1	20020046278	A1	2002-04	I-18	Hays et al.					
	2	20040103064	A1	2004-05-27		Howard et al.					
	3	20050066063	A1	2005-03	3-24	Grigorovitch et	al.				
	4	20060155400	A1	2006-07	'-13	Loomis					
If you wisl	h to ac	ld additional U.S. Publis	hed Ap	plication	citation	information p	lease click the Add	button.	Add		
				FOREIG	SN PAT	ENT DOCUM	ENTS		Remove		
Examiner Initial*	Cite No		Country Code ²		Kind Code4	Publication Date	Name of Patentee Applicant of cited Document	or w	here Rele	or Relevant	T5

INFORMATION DISCLOSURE STATEMENT BY APPLICANT (Not for submission under 37 CFR 1.99)

Application Number		10658929
Filing Date		2003-09-09
First Named Inventor Kevin		ı Lym
Art Unit		2423
Examiner Name Mend		loza, Junior O.
Attorney Docket Numb	er	SONY-26100

	1								
If you wish	h to ac	dd addit	ional Foreign P	atent Document	citation	information pl	ease click the Add buttor	n Add	
				NON-PATE	NT LITE	RATURE DO	CUMENTS	Remove	
Examiner Initials*	Cite No	(book,	Include name of the author (in CAPITAL LETTERS), title of the article (When appropriate), title of the item (book, magazine, journal, serial, symposium, catalog, etc), date, pages(s), volume-issue number(s), publisher, city and/or country where publisher.						
	1								
If you wish	h to ac	dd addit	ional non-pater	nt literature docu	ment cit	ation informati	on please click the Add b	button Add	
	EXAMINER SIGNATURE								
Examiner	Examiner Signature /Junior Mendoza/ Date Considered 06/18/2009								
*EXAMINER: Initial if reference considered, whether or not citation is in conformance with MPEP 609. Draw line through a citation if not in conformance and not considered. Include copy of this form with next communication to applicant.									

¹ See Kind Codes of USPTO Patent Documents at https://www.USPTO.GQV or MPEP 9010.4. Efter office that issued the document, by the two-letter code (WIPO Standard ST3.3). For Japanese patent documents, the indication of the year of the relay or the Emperor must proceed the sent into off the patent document. *A find of document by the appropriate symbols as indicated on the document under WIPO Standard ST.16 if possible. *Supplicant is to piace a check mark here if English language stransistion is attacked.

Notice of References Cited

Application/Control No.	Applicant(s)/F	
10/658,929	Reexamination	in
Examiner	Art Unit	
JUNIOR O. MENDOZA	2423	Page 1 of 1

U.S. PATENT DOCUMENTS

*		Document Number Country Code-Number-Kind Code	Date MM-YYYY	Name ·	Classification
*	Α	US-2002/0022453 A1	02-2002	Balog et al.	455/41
	В	US-			
	С	US-			
	D	US-			
	Е	US-			
	щ	US-			
	O	US-			
	Ι	us-			
	1	US-			
	J	US-			
	К	US-			
	L	US-			
	м	US-			

FOREIGN PATENT DOCUMENTS

*		Document Number Country Code-Number-Kind Code	Date MM-YYYY	Country	Name	Classification
	N					
	0					
	Р					
Г	Q					
	R					
	s					
Г	Т					

NON DATENT DOCUMENTS

	NON-PATENT DOCUMENTS						
*		Include as applicable: Author, Title Date, Publisher, Edition or Volume, Pertinent Pages)					
	υ						
	v						
	w						
	x						

A copy of this reference is not being furnished with this Office action. (See MPEP § 707.05(a).)
Dates in MM-YYYY format are publication dates. Classifications may be US or foreign.